

1 WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

2 R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.

3 Nevada Bar No. 9313

4 Ramir M. Hernandez, Esq.

5 Nevada Bar No. 13146

6 7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200

7 Las Vegas, NV 89117

8 (702) 475-7964; Fax: (702) 946-1345

9 *Attorneys for Defendant, LongVue Mortgage Capital Inc., as trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II*
10 *and Proposed Substitute Party, LongVue Mortgage Capital Inc., as trustee for WVUE 2015-1*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 RANDOLPH DAY,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 LONGVUE MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC., as
17 trustee for WESTVUE NPL TRUST II; FIRST
18 AMERICAN SOLUTIONS, LLC, and DOES
19 I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,
inclusive,

20 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01596-JAD-CWH

**MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY, OR
IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO
INTERVENE**

21 Defendant LongVue Mortgage Capital Inc., as trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II
22 (hereinafter “Defendant” or “WestVue”), and proposed Substituted Party LongVue Mortgage
23 Capital Inc., as trustee for WVUE 2015-1 (“WVUE”) by and through their attorneys of record,
24 R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq and Ramir M. Hernandez, Esq. of the law firm of Wright, Finlay & Zak,
25 LLP, hereby move this Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25 to substitute WVUE into this action
26 as the Defendant in place and stead of WestVue. WVUE is the successor-in-interest to WestVue
27 and the current beneficiary of record of the Deed of Trust and, as such, is the proper real party-
28 in-interest and should be granted the right to substitute in to the pending litigation, or in the
alternative, to intervene, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24.

1 This Motion is made and based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and
2 Authorities, pleadings and papers on file herein, and any oral argument as the Court may deem
3 necessary.

4 DATED this 14th day of June, 2019.

5
6 WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

7 /s/ Ramir M. Hernandez

8 R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.

9 Nevada Bar No. 9313

10 Ramir M. Hernandez, Esq.

11 Nevada Bar No. 13146

12 7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200

13 Las Vegas, NV 89117

14 *Attorneys for Defendant, LongVue Mortgage*

15 *Capital Inc., as trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II*

16 *and Proposed Substitute Party, LongVue Mortgage*

17 *Capital Inc., as trustee for WVUE 2015-1*

1 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2 **I. INTRODUCTION**

3 Defendant, LongVue Mortgage Capital Inc., as trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II
4 (“WestVue”) and Proposed Substituted Defendant, LongVue Mortgage Capital Inc., as trustee
5 for WVUE 2015-1 (“WVUE”) seek relief from this Court to substitute WVUE as the named
6 Defendant in the pending litigation, or in the alternative to intervene as the real party in interest.
7 Just cause exists to do so because public records show that WVUE is the beneficiary of record of
8 the Deed of Trust.

9 This case involves the validity of the Note and the right of WestVue to foreclosure on the
10 Property. Plaintiff filed the instant action seeking, *inter alia*, to stop the foreclosure of the
11 Property based on allegations that WestVue does not possess valid endorsements on the Note in
12 order to foreclosure on the Subject Property. As discussed in further detail below, the Deed of
13 Trust was assigned to WVUE. As such, WVUE is the proper party-in-interest to the pending
14 litigation and seeks to be substituted in the place and stead of WestVue.

15 **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

16 1. This matter concerns a dispute regarding real property located at 32 Via Paradiso
17 St., Henderson, NV 89011 (the “Property”).¹

18 2. On April 10, 2007, Plaintiff Randolph Day took out a loan in the amount of
19 \$900,000.00 by executing an Adjustable Rate Note with Interest-Only Period (“Note”) in favor
20 of Mortgage Max Corporation.²

21 3. To secure the loan, Plaintiff executed a Deed of Trust in favor of Mortgage
22 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (“MERS”) as nominee for Mortgage Max Corporation on
23 April 10, 2007. The Deed of Trust was recoded in the Clark County Records Office on April
24 18, 2007 as Instrument No. 20070418-0003075.³

25 4. On August 8, 2012, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as nominee
26 for Mortgage Electronic Registrations Systems, Inc., solely as nominee for Mortgage Max

27 ¹ See ECF No. 1 at §7.

28 ² A true and correct copy of the Note is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

³ A true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

1 Corporation and its successors and assigns assigned its interest in the Deed of Trust to Flagstar
2 Bank, FSB (“Flagstar”) via an Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded in the Clark County
3 Records Office as Document No. 201208080003210.⁴

4 5. On June 28, 2015, Flagstar assigned its interest in the Deed of Trust to WestVue
5 via an Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded in the Clark County Records Office as Document
6 No. 20150728-0001955.⁵

7 6. On June 7, 2017, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this matter.⁶

8 7. On October 23, 2017, WestVue filed an Answer to the Complaint.⁷

9 8. On May 20, 2019, WestVue assigned its interest in the Deed of Trust to WVUE
10 via an Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded in the Clark County Records Office as Document
11 No. 20190520-0002575.⁸

12 9. Pursuant to the deposition testimony of WestVue and the recorded assignment,
13 WVUE is the current holder of the rights to Plaintiff’s loan.⁹

14 10. Plaintiff’s allegations are directed only at WestVue. No other parties have
15 appeared in the case.

16 11. WVUE now seeks to substitute as Defendant as WVUE is the current beneficiary
17 of record.

18 **III. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

19 **A. This Court should substitute WVUE as the real party of interest in the place of** 20 **WestVue.**

21 Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(c) permits a person to make a motion to substitute party in the “case of
22 any transfer of interest.” In such circumstance, “the action may be continued by or against the
23

24 ⁴ A True and Correct Copy of the MERS Assignment of Deed of Trust is attached hereto as
Exhibit C.

25 ⁵ A True and Correct Copy of the Flagstar Assignment of Deed of Trust is attached hereto as
Exhibit D.

26 ⁶ ECF No. 1.

27 ⁷ ECF No. 30.

28 ⁸ A True and Correct Copy of the WestVue Assignment of Deed of Trust is attached hereto as
Exhibit E.

⁹ See **Exhibit F**, Dep. of WestVue at 44:9-11.

original party, unless the court upon motion directs the person to whom the interest is transferred to be substituted in the action or joined with the original party.” The Rule does not require substitution, and the action can continue in the name of the original party. However, application of Fed. R. Civ. P. 25 is generally within the trial court’s discretion.¹⁰

Here, WVUE is the record real party-in-interest¹¹ and should be permitted to substitute in the place and stead of WestVue as Defendant in this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(c). As the current beneficiary of record of the Deed of Trust, WVUE has a direct and substantial interest in the case due to the Complaint seeking a judicial determination as to the validity of the Note and right of the Note Holder to foreclose. As the current beneficiary of record of the Deed of Trust, WVUE should be afforded the opportunity to litigate its rights, title, and interest in the first Deed of Trust against the Property. It is important that a successor-in-interest, WVUE will assume all the rights and liabilities held by WestVue as Rule 25 is merely procedural, not dispositive of the claims.¹² As such, there is no prejudice to Plaintiff as he loses none of his allegations or claims as a result of the substitution.

B. In the alternative, WestVue requests permission to intervene in the action

In the alternative, in the event the Court does not believe substitution is appropriate, WVUE requests that it be permitted to intervene in the action and dismiss WestVue. Fed. R. Civ. P. 24 provides the mechanism by which a person may intervene:

(a) Intervention of Right. On timely motion, the court must permit anyone to intervene who:

(1) is given an unconditional right to intervene by a federal statute; or

(2) claims an interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of the action, and is so situated that disposing of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the movant’s ability to protect its interest, unless existing parties adequately represent that interest.

¹⁰ See *McComb v. Row River Lumber Co.*, 177 F.2d 129 (9th Cir. 1949); *United States use of Acme Granite & Tile Co. v. F. D. Rich Co.*, 437 F.2d 549 (9th Cir. 1970).

¹¹ See fn. 8-9, supra.

¹² See, *Hilbrands v. Far E. Trading Co., Inc.*, 509 F.2d 1321, 1323 (9th Cir. 1975)(“Rule 25, it is true, is procedural and does not provide for the survival of any right of action. Survival of the right does depend on substantive law.”).

1 (b) Permissive Intervention.

2 (1) *In General*. On timely motion, the court may permit anyone to
3 intervene who:

4 (A) is given a conditional right to intervene by a federal statute; or

5 (B) as a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common
6 question of law or fact.

7 ...

8 (3) *Delay or Prejudice*. In exercising its discretion, the court must
9 consider whether the intervention will unduly delay or prejudice the
10 adjudication of the original parties' rights.

11 Here, WVUE, the current beneficiary of record, is a necessary party to the litigation and
12 has a direct and substantial interest in the case due to the Complaint seeking a judicial
13 determination that WestVue, the prior beneficiary, has no enforceable lien interest as to the
14 Property. No other party in the case can adequately represent WVUE's interest. WestVue no
15 longer owns the loan or acts as the beneficiary of the Deed of Trust. Alternatively, permissive
16 intervention necessitates WVUE intervention because its claim to the Note and Deed of Trust are
17 at issue in the present litigation.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IV. CONCLUSION

Just cause exists to substitute WVUE as the named Defendant in the pending litigation, or in the alternative to intervene as the real party in interest. The subject Deed of Trust was assigned to WVUE and WestVue no longer has a recorded interest in the Property. Shortly after recording the Assignment, WVUE filed the instant motion, and no parties will be prejudiced due to the change of the Defendant. Based upon the foregoing, WestVue and WVUE respectfully request that the Court allow WVUE to substitute in the place and stead of WestVue as the named Defendant in the pending litigation or, in the alternative, permit WVUE to intervene and dismiss WestVue from the pending litigation, and to amend the case caption accordingly.

DATED this 14th day of June, 2019.

WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

/s/ Ramir M. Hernandez

R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 9313

Ramir M. Hernandez, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 13146

7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89117

*Attorneys for Defendant, LongVue Mortgage
Capital Inc., as trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II
and Proposed Substitute Party, LongVue Mortgage
Capital Inc., as trustee for WVUE 2015-1*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I certify that on the 14th day of June, 2019, a true and correct copy of **MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO INTERVENE** was sent via e-mail to the following.

Andrew P. Dunning, Esq. adunning@Gtg.legal

Eric R. Olsen, Esq. eolsen@Gtg.legal

Catherine Rowe crowe@Gtg.legal

/s/ Jason Craig

An Employee of Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP

<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	
Exhibit A	Note
Exhibit B	Deed of Trust
Exhibit C	MERS Assignment of Deed of Trust
Exhibit D	Flagstar Assignment of Deed of Trust
Exhibit E	WestVue Assignment of Deed of Trust
Exhibit F	Dep. of WestVue